

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/520,709	Applicant(s) HAGA, JOHAN	
	Examiner Ryan P. Gillan	Art Unit 3746	

All Participants:

(1) Ryan P. Gillan.

(2) Christian Abel.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 3 February 2006

Time: 9:00am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Improper multiple dependant claims.

Claims discussed:

4-10

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed, with applicant, amendments of claims 4-10 in order to comply with proper dependancy. Agreed upon amendments as foloows: claim 4 will depend upon claim 3, claim 5 will depend upon claim 4, claim 6 will depend upon claim 5, claim 7 will depend upon claim 6, claim 8 will depend upon claim 6 and claim 9 will depend upon claim 4. .